ICED27 AI Policy

ICED27 adopts the Cambridge University Press's policy on AI Contributions to Research, which includes the following:

- AI use must be declared and clearly explained in publications such as research papers, just as we expect scholars to do with other software, tools and methodologies;
- AI does not meet the Cambridge requirements for authorship, given the need for accountability. AI and LLM tools may not be listed as an author on any scholarly work published by Cambridge;
- Authors are accountable for the accuracy, integrity and originality of their research papers, including for any use of AI;
- Any use of AI must not breach Cambridge's plagiarism policy. Scholarly works must be the author's own, and not present others' ideas, data, words or other material without adequate citation and transparent referencing.

ICED27 additional invitation to authors

In addition to the Press's policy on AI Contributions to Research, ICED27 committees **invite** authors to prepare a substantive declaration of **every intentional use of an AI-enabled function** in preparing the research or manuscript, specifying, as applicable:

- the tool and version used;
- the date(s) of use;
- the purpose for which it was used;
- the parts of the research or manuscript affected;
- how its outputs were checked, corrected, or validated;
- any relevant limitations, risks, or safeguards.

Disclosure should be proportionate to the use:

- **Routine assistance**, including spelling, grammar, minor language refinement, or formatting, does not need to be disclosed;
- **Content-generating assistance**, including generation or reformulation of passages, translation, coding assistance, reference suggestions, or conceptual illustrations, should be described in the submission declaration and in an appropriate statement within the paper;
- **AI used as part of the research method**, including data collection, analysis, classification, simulation, or interpretation, should be fully described in the methodological section. Authors should retain sufficient records of prompts, settings, inputs, outputs, validation procedures, and human interventions to permit examination if requested.
- **Substantively AI-generated or AI-modified visual material** should be identified in the corresponding caption.

Reviewers should be able to assess the paper according to the normal scholarly criteria, including:

- originality and significance of the contribution;
- command and critical use of the literature;
- appropriateness and rigour of the research method;
- validity of the analysis, results, and conclusions;
- relevance to engineering design research or practice;
- clarity, precision, and overall quality of presentation.

Material non-disclosure may be treated as a research-integrity concern. Authors may be asked to clarify their use of AI, correct or complete their declaration, provide supporting information, or revise the manuscript.

A paper may be rejected when established evidence demonstrates misconduct, breach of confidentiality or ethics, misrepresentation, or failure to meet ICED's scholarly assessment criteria.